

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/945,121	Applicant(s) SHIRLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner Terrence R. Till	Art Unit 1744	

All Participants:

(1) Terrence R. Till.

(2) T. Clise.

Date of Interview: 24 December 2003

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

 Claims discussed:
 27-31

 Prior art documents discussed:
Nishimura et al. (6,286,525-cited in previous action)

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was called to inform him that almost all claims pending would be allowed. The examiner believed that claims 27-31 could be rejected over the prior art. Applicant's representative proposed changes to claims 27-31 that defined over the prior art. These changes were sent via e-mail. The examiner copied these claims into an examiner's amendment in order to allow the application..